	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	--	---

**Title: Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols**

**SOP Code: SOP 07A/V1**

**Effective Date:** aa/bb/cccc

*[The IEC members (author/s, reviewer/s) and Chairperson will sign and date the SOP on this first page]*

**Prepared by:**

Dr. Padmaja Marathe, FERICI Member	(Signature with Date)
------------------------------------	-----------------------

**Reviewed by:**


Dr. U. M. Thatte, FERICI Secretary	(Signature with Date)
Dr. S. K. Kamat, FERICI Treasurer	(Signature with Date)

**Approved by:**

Dr. Vasantha Muthuswamy, FERICI President	(Signature with Date)
Dr. Nandini Kumar, FERICI Vice President	(Signature with Date)

**Table of Contents:**

No.	Contents	Page No.
1	Purpose	2
2	Scope	2
3	Responsibility	2
4	Detailed Instructions	3
5	Reference to other Applicable SOPs	9
6	Annexures	9
7	Flowchart	23

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	--	---

## **1. Purpose**


The purpose of this Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to describe how the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) members will perform an initial review on a new research study protocol using the Assessment Form.

## **2. Scope**

This SOP applies to the initial review and assessment of all research study protocols submitted for review and approval from the IEC. All research studies presented with more than minimal risk and which do not qualify for exemption (See SOP 7C/V1) or expedited review (See SOP 7B/V1), are covered in this SOP.

## **3. Responsibility**

- The Member Secretary is responsible, after categorisation of the studies (as per SOP 07/V1), to forward the studies to the Secretariat.
- The IEC Secretariat is responsible for creation of a study specific file, distribution of the packages along with study assessment forms to the IEC members for review (If the study is categorised for Full Board review), and communicate the review results to the investigators.
- IEC members (including Member Secretary) will be responsible for reviewing the research protocols and related documents within the given time frames.
- It is the responsibility of all the IEC members to fill the Assessment form along with comments and recommendation they have after reviewing each study protocol.
- The IEC members are responsible for attending and participating actively in the discussion at the full Board Meeting
- The Member Secretary is responsible for setting up the Full Board Meeting (SOP 07A/V1)
- The IEC Secretariat is responsible for recording and filing the decision, relevant points and deliberation about a specific protocol, including the reasons for that decision.
- The Chairperson is responsible to sign and date the decision in the IEC Decision Form *AX 03/SOP 7A/V1*.

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---

#### **4. Detailed instructions**

##### ***4.1 Appointment of primary reviewers***

- The Member Secretary/Chairperson will appoint two or more primary reviewers for each study on the basis of expertise in the related field and experience. They should include one clinician and one non technical person as applicable. More than two may be appointed if necessary.

##### ***4.2 Distribute the protocol package***


- The Secretariat will fill in the required details in the cover letter to the IEC Members requesting initial review (*AX 01/SOP 7A/V1*) and in the study assessment form *AX 02/SOP 7A/V1*.
- The Secretariat will send a packet (*hard or soft copy*) to the IEC members.
  - Letter to IEC Members requesting Initial Review
  - Study assessment form *AX 01/SOP 7A/V1*
  - Study Submission Application Form *AX 01/SOP 06/V1*
  - Protocol and related documents
  - Study assessment form *AX 02/SOP 7A/V1* in case it is to the Primary reviewer.

##### ***4.3 Receive the distributed protocol package***

- The IEC members will receive the protocol package with the Study Application Form *AX 01/SOP 06/V1*, in a CD or pen-drive or as hard copy (if desired so).
- Designated primary reviewers will also receive the Study Assessment Form for Initial Review *AX 02/SOP 7A/V1*

##### ***4.4 Verify the contents of the package***

- The IEC member will verify all the contents.


	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---

- The IEC member will check the meeting date to see if it is convenient to attend the meeting.
- The IEC member will notify the IEC Secretariat if any documents are missing or if the specified date of the IEC meeting is not convenient to attend.

#### ***4.5 Review by the IEC members***

##### **Review of the protocol**


- The protocol will be reviewed by each member as per guidelines to review a study protocol described in *AX 05/SOP 7A/V1*.
- The IEC member will consider the following criteria when performing the review of the study protocol and the study related documents:
  - Scientific design and conduct of the study
  - Risks and potential benefits
  - Selection of study population and recruitment of research participants
  - Inducements, financial benefits and financial costs
  - Protection of research participants' privacy and confidentiality
  - Community considerations
  - Qualifications of Investigators and assess adequacy of study sites
  - Disclosure or declaration of potential conflicts of interest
- The IEC member will consider the following criteria when performing the review of the Informed Consent Document (as per *AX 05/SOP 7A/V1*)
  - Voluntary, non-coercive recruitment, participation/ withdrawal
  - Procedures for obtaining informed consent
  - Contents of the patient information sheet - title, objective, study design and procedures
  - Contents and language of the informed consent document

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---

- Translation of the informed consent document in the local languages
- Language used – plain and easy to understand by general public
- Contact persons with address and phone numbers for questions about research participants rights and study or injury
- Privacy and confidentiality
- Risks and discomforts – physical / mental / social
- Alternative treatments
- Benefits – to participants, community, institution and society
- Compensation for participation: (Whether it will act as undue inducement)
- Involvement of vulnerable participants
- Provisions for medical/ psychosocial support
- Treatment for study related injuries
- Compensation for study-related injuries: as per applicable local regulations
- Use of biological materials
- Check for provision for signatures with dates of participant, person conducting informed consent discussion, investigator and witness
- Provision for audiovisual recording of consent process in case of regulatory drug trials

#### ***4.6 Use of study assessment form for reviewers***

- The assessment form is designed to standardize the review process.
- All reviewers will fill out the form (AX 01/SOP 7A/V1 - letter to IEC members requesting initial review with study assessment form) and write their comments related to review of the research proposal.

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	--	---


- In addition, primary reviewers will use the study assessment form (AX 02/SOP 7A/V1) to ensure that all elements of research study are reviewed and are accordingly documented during the discussion / meeting.
- The duly filled, signed and dated assessment forms will be returned along with the research protocols to the Secretariat 7 days prior to the meeting.

#### ***4.7 Gather the assessment reports***


The IEC Secretariat will collect the Assessment Forms, comments from each reviewer and file in the original study file and converted into a soft copy for discussion at the meeting. If the comments come as a soft copy these will be collated for discussion at the meeting.

#### ***4.8 IEC meeting***

- During the discussion at the meeting, the primary reviewer shall brief the members about summary of the study protocol and read out the comments and evaluation provided on the assessment form.
- The comments of an independent consultant (if applicable) will be discussed by the member secretary.
- The other IEC members shall give their comments right after the presentation.
- The investigator/sub-investigator may be called in to provide clarifications on the study protocol that he/she has submitted for review to the IEC.
- The IEC members will discuss and clarify the comments and suggestions.
- The Member secretary (assisted by the Secretarial staff) shall record the discussions
  - The final decision on the study will be recorded as: “Approved/ Disapproved/ Suggested recommendations or any other (as per IEC policy)” in the meeting shall be made by voting or by majority consensus (as per the IEC policy) and will be recorded in the IEC Decision Form AX 03/SOP 7A/V1 by the Member Secretary.

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	--	---

- A majority vote for approval, disapproval or request for modifications of a study suspension or termination of an ongoing study is defined as 2/3<sup>rd</sup> of the voting members present at the meeting.
- The following will not be eligible to vote
  - Member(s) of the committee who is/are listed as investigator(s) on a research proposal
  - An investigator or study team member invited for the meeting.
  - An independent consultant invited for the meeting to provide opinion
  - Specific patient groups invited for the meeting will not vote or participate in the decision making procedures of the committee.
- The Committee will decide whether the query responses and (if applicable) revised protocol will go only to Member Secretary, to primary reviewers or to Full Board before final approval.
- The response and changes carried out may be considered for discussion at a future IEC meeting.
- If the IEC decision is ‘Disapproved’ or any other, the decision should be made on the basis of specific reasons, which are communicated by the IEC to the principal investigator in the letter of notification.
- The Secretariat will obtain the signature of all the members and of the Chairperson of the IEC on the IEC Decision Form *AX 03/SOP 7A/V1*.
- If the study is approved, the Committee will recommend monitoring for a study if it is so determined at the meeting depending on factors like risk is high in the protocol, the PI has a history of repeated protocol violations, PI has many protocols and any other reason so deemed.
- The Secretariat will list participating members in the meeting and summarize the guidance, advice and decision reached by the IEC members.
- With the study protocol, the Assessment Form from all members and IEC Decision Form will be filed in the study file by the Administrative Officer.

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---


- The Administrative Officer will return the file and the protocol to the appropriate shelves.

#### ***4.9 Final communication of the IEC decision taken on the study to the Principal Investigator***

- The Secretariat will prepare an approval letter as *AX 04/SOP 7A/V1* to be sent to the Principal Investigator when the study is approved at an IEC meeting.
- The letter contains, at a minimum:
  - Study reference number
  - Study title
  - A listing of each document approved, the date set by the Committee for frequency of continuing review, and a review of other obligations and expectations from the investigator throughout the course of the study.
  - The approval is provided for the entire duration of the study.
  - List of IEC members present at the meeting when the study was approved.
  - The Chairperson / Member Secretary will sign the approval letter and the Secretariat will send it to the Principal Investigator within 14 days.
- If the Committee disapproves a study, the Secretariat immediately notifies the investigator in writing about the decision and the reason/s for not approving the study within 7 working days.
- A notifying letter to the investigator should state the following:

*“If you wish to appeal to this decision, please contact the IEC and submit your appeal in writing within twelve (12) weeks of the receipt of the committee’s decision, addressed to the IEC Chairperson with justification as to why the appeal should be granted. In absence of appeal, the study will be declared closed for the IEC office records.”*
- If the Committee requires modifications to any of the documents, the Secretariat will send a written request for carrying out specific changes to the investigator asking him or her to



	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	--	---

make the necessary changes and resubmit the documents to the IEC. The Principal Investigator will be asked to respond to the letter of comments/queries within 180 days *or as applicable as per IEC policy*) of the receipt of the letter by the investigator. In the absence of any response, the study will be declared closed for the IEC office records.

- The Secretariat will verify the correctness of the wordings and spelling in all the letters and process all the above tasks within 14 days after the meeting.

#### ***4.10 Storage of Documents***

- The Secretariat will keep a copy of the Approval letter/Query letter/Disapproval letter in the study file along with all the reviewed documents.
- The Administrative Officer will store the file on an appropriate shelf in the designated cabinet.

#### **5. References to Other Applicable SOPs**

**SOP 6/V1:** Management of Research Study Protocol and Study Related Documents Submitted for Ethics Review

**SOP 07/V1:** Categorization of Submitted Protocols for Ethics Review

**SOP 07B/V1:** Expedited Review of Research Study Protocols

**SOP 07C/V1:** Exemption from Ethics Review of Research Study Protocols


**SOP 08/V1:** Agenda Preparation, Meeting Procedures and Recording of Minutes

**SOP 09/V1:** Review of Amended Protocol, Protocol-related Documents and Resubmitted protocol

#### **6. Annexures**

Annexure 1      *AX 01/SOP 7A/V1* - Letter to the IEC Members requesting  
initial review with study assessment form

Annexure 2      *AX 02/SOP 7A/V1* - Study assessment form for primary reviewer

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	--	---

- Annexure 3      *AX 03/SOP 7A/V1* - IEC decision form
- Annexure 4      *AX 04/SOP 7A/V1* - Format of study approval letter
- Annexure 5      *AX 05/SOP 7A /V1*- Guidelines for reviewing a study protocol

**Annexure 1: *AX 01/SOP 7A/V1***

***Letter to IEC Members requesting Initial Review with study assessment form***

Dear member,

The next meeting of the IEC will be held on XXX at XXX in XXXX.

Please note that the package of research proposals is to be circulated in the following order. You are requested to review the same preferably within 5 working days of receiving the package. Please review the protocol and related documents as per the guidelines attached with Annex 1 and provide your comments below and fill the study assessment form (for primary reviewers only) provided with the package (*AX 02/SOP 7A/V1*). Kindly confirm your availability for the meeting.

Name of Member	Date of Receipt	Signature	Attending meeting (Y/N)


Protocol Number : <u>(as per IEC records)</u>	Date of receipt at IEC office after review by IEC member (DD/MM/YY):	
Protocol Title :		
Name of the Principal Investigator	Designation	Department
Name of the Reviewer:		

**Comments:**

---



---

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	--	---

Signature of IEC member reviewing the study:		Date:
--	--	-------

**Annexure 2: AX 02/SOP 7A/V1**  
**Study Assessment Form to be used by the Primary Reviewer**

Protocol Number :	Date (DD/MM/YY):
Protocol Title :	
Principal Investigator:	
Department :	
No. of Participants at the site:	No. of Study site(s):

**Mark and comment on whatever items are applicable to the study.**


1	Objectives of the Study <input type="checkbox"/> clear <input type="checkbox"/> unclear	What should be improved?
2	Need for Human Participants <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
3	Methodology: <input type="checkbox"/> clear <input type="checkbox"/> unclear	What should be improved?
4a	Background Information and Data <input type="checkbox"/> sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient	Comments:
4b	Risks and Benefits Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable <input type="checkbox"/> unacceptable	Comments:
4c	Inclusion Criteria <input type="checkbox"/> appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> inappropriate	Comments:
4d	Exclusion Criteria <input type="checkbox"/> appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> inappropriate	Comments:
4e	Discontinuation and Withdrawal Criteria <input type="checkbox"/> appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> inappropriate	Comments:
5	Involvement of Vulnerable Participants: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
6	Voluntary, Non-Coercive	Comments:



**FERCI MODEL SOPs**  
**Initial Full Board Review of New Research**  
**Study Protocols**

**SOP 07A/V1**  
**Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc**

	Recruitment of Participants <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
7	Sufficient number of participants? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
8	Control Arms (placebo, if any) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
9	Are Qualifications and experience of the Participating Investigators appropriate? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
10	Disclosure or Declaration of Potential Conflicts of Interest <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
11	Facilities and infrastructure of Participating Sites <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate	Comments:
12	Community Consultation: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA	Comments:
13	Benefit to Local Communities <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
14	Contribution to development of local capacity for research and treatment <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
15	Availability of similar Study / Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
16	Are blood/tissue samples sent abroad? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
17	Are procedures for obtaining Informed Consent appropriate? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
18	Contents of the Informed Consent Document: <input type="checkbox"/> clear <input type="checkbox"/> unclear	Comments:
19	Language of the Informed Consent Document: <input type="checkbox"/> clear <input type="checkbox"/> unclear	Comments:
20	Contact Persons for Participants <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---

21	Privacy & Confidentiality <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments:
22	Inducement for Participation <input type="checkbox"/> Unlikely <input type="checkbox"/> Likely	Comments:
23	Provision for Compensation for Participation <input type="checkbox"/> appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> inappropriate	Comments:
24	Provision for Treatment for Study-Related Injuries <input type="checkbox"/> appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> inappropriate	Comments:
25	Provision for Compensation for Study Related Injuries <input type="checkbox"/> appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> inappropriate	Comments:

Reviewer's Signature with date: \_\_\_\_\_


**Annexure 3: AX 03/SOP 7A/V1**

***Decision Form***

Date of IEC meeting: \_\_\_\_\_

Protocol number: \_\_\_\_\_

IEC Protocol No. and Title:	
Principal Investigator:	Department:
Final Decision at the meeting:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved <input type="checkbox"/> Approved with modifications <input type="checkbox"/> Resubmission <input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved <input type="checkbox"/> Reviewed at the Full Board meeting <input type="checkbox"/> Review by any 2 / more IEC members <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring required  Reason: _____

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	--	---

	<p><b>Disapproved, Reasons:</b></p> <hr/> <hr/>
--	---

No.	Names of Members present	AP	AM	RS	DA	Signature

**Note:** AP: Approved; AM: Approved with modification [(either primary reviewer/full board) if reviewed by full board again a decision form has to be filled; RS: Resubmission; DA: Disapproved.

**Comments:**

**No. of members voting for the decision:**

**No. of members voting against the decision:**


**No. of members abstaining from voting:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Chairperson

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Annexure 4: AX 04/SOP 7A/V1**  
**Format of Interventional Research Study Approval letter**

Date XX/XX/XXXX  
To,  
Dr. xxxxxxxxxxxxxx,  
Dept. of xxxxxxxxxxxxxx.

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---

Ref: The study no. EC/xxx/20xx entitled, “xxxxxxxxxxx”.

Sub: Letter no.

Dear Dr. XXXXx,

The meeting of the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) was held on xxxxx at xxxx, in the xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx with xxxxx as Chairperson.

xxxx members attended the meeting held on xxxx. The list of members who attended the meeting is as follows.

<b>Name of Members</b>	<b>Position on IEC</b>	<b>Designation &amp; Affiliation</b>	<b>Qualification</b>	<b>Gender</b>

It is hereby confirmed that neither you nor any of the study team members have participated in the voting/decision making procedures of the committee.

The IEC reviewed the above mentioned clinical study and approved the following documents submitted for this clinical study at the meeting.

1. Xxx
2. Xxx
3. xxx


The IEC hereby approves the proposal entitled, “xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx”.

It is understood that the study will be conducted under your direction, in a total of xxx research participants, at XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX as per the submitted protocol.

This approval is valid for the entire duration of the study.

It is the policy of IEC that, it be informed about any onsite serious adverse event or the unexpected adverse event report within 24 hours as per the formats specified in SOP 09/V1 to the IEC or by email if there is holiday. The report of SAE or death after due analysis shall be

forwarded by the Investigator to chairman of the IEC and the head of the institution where the trial is been conducted within 10 calendar days of SAE or death.

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---

In case of injury or death occurring trial subject the sponsor (whether a pharmaceutical company or an institution) or his representative, whosoever had obtained permission from the Licensing Authority for conduct of the clinical trial shall make payments for medical management of the subject and also provide financial compensation for the clinical trial related injury or death.

No deviations from, or changes of the protocol and Informed Consent Document should be initiated without prior written approval by IEC of an appropriate amendment. The IEC expects that the investigator should promptly report to IEC any deviations from, or changes of, the protocol to eliminate immediate hazards to the research participants and about any new information that may affect adversely the safety of the research participants or the conduct of the trial.

For studies which will continue for more than a year, a continuing review report needs to be submitted (within 1 month of the due date i.e. 11 months from the date of approval) on or before XXXXXXXXXXXX.

A copy of the final report should be submitted to the IEC for review.

The IEC functions in accordance with ICH GCP, Schedule Y, ICMR guidelines and other applicable regulatory requirements.

Sincerely yours

Member Secretary/ Chairperson,  
IEC

(Signed and dated by the IEC Chairperson or Member Secretary)

**Date of approval of the study: XX/XX/20XX**

***Annexure 5: AX 05/SOP 7A/V1***  
***Format of Observational Research Study Approval letter***

Date XX/XX/XXXX

To,

Dr. xxxxxxxxxxxxxx,


Dept. of xxxxxxxxxxxxxx.

Ref: The study no. EC/xxx/20xx entitled, “xxxxxxxxxxx”.

Sub: Letter no.

Dear Dr. XXXXx,



	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	--	---

The meeting of the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) was held on xxxxx at xxxx, in the xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx with xxxxx as Chairperson.

xxxx members attended the meeting held on xxxx. The list of members who attended the meeting is as follows.

Name of Members	Position on IEC	Designation & Affiliation	Qualification	Gender

It is hereby confirmed that neither you nor any of the study team members have participated in the voting/decision making procedures of the committee.

The IEC has reviewed and approved the following documents submitted for the above – mentioned clinical study.

1. Xxx
2. Xxx
3. xxx

The IEC hereby approves the proposal entitled, “xxxxxxxxxxxxxx”.

It is understood that the study will be conducted under your direction, in a total of xxxx research participants, at Dept. of xxxx, \_\_\_\_\_ as per the submitted protocol.


This approval is valid for the entire duration of the study.

No deviations from, or changes of the protocol and Informed Consent Document should be initiated without prior written approval by the IEC of an appropriate amendment. The IEC expects that the investigator should promptly report to the IEC any deviations from, or changes of, the protocol to eliminate immediate hazards to the research participants and about any new information that may affect adversely the safety of the research participants or the conduct of the trial.

For studies which will continue for more than a year, a continuing review report needs to be submitted (within 1 month of the due date i.e. 11 months from the date of approval) on or before XXXXXXXXXXXX.

A copy of the final report should be submitted to the IEC for review.

The IEC functions in accordance with ICH GCP, Schedule Y, ICMR guidelines and other applicable regulatory requirements.

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---

Sincerely yours

Member Secretary/ Chairperson,  
IEC

(Signed and dated by the IEC Chairperson or Member Secretary)


**Date of approval of the study: XX/XX/20XX**

***Annexure 6: AX 06/SOP 7A/V1***


***Guidelines for reviewing a study protocol***

Reviewers should make use of the following points while reviewing research studies which relate to scientific validity, informed consent documents, placebo justification, suitability and feasibility of the study, advertisements review.

1. How will the knowledge, result or outcome of the study contribute to human well-being?
  - Knowledge from the basic research may possibly benefit.
  - A new choice of method, drug or device that benefits the research participants during the study and others in the future.
  - Provide safety data or more competitive choices.
2. Does the study design will be able to give answers to the objectives? Whether
  - The endpoints are appropriately selected.
  - The participating duration of a study participant is adequate to allow sufficient change in the endpoints.
  - The control arm is appropriately selected for best comparison.
  - The placebo is justified.
  - The number of study participants in non-treatment (or placebo) arm is minimized.
  - Unbiased assignment (e.g. randomization, etc.) is in practice.
  - Inclusion and exclusion criteria are carefully selected to eliminate confounding factors as much as possible.
  - The sample group size appropriate with the given statistical assumptions.
  - Predictable risks are minimized.

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---

- The tests and procedures that are more than minimal risk are cautiously used.
  - Research participants deception is avoid.
  - Instruction and counseling for study participants are included (if needed) when deception is integral to the study design.
  - The study participants are adequately assessed and provided follow-up care, if needed.
3. Who will be the participants in the study? Whether
- The described population is appropriate for the study.
  - Predictable vulnerabilities are considered.
  - It is completely necessary to conduct the study in a vulnerable population. If not, is there any other way to get the study answers?
  - There will be secondary participants.
4. Do the inclusion and exclusion criteria
- Selectively include participants most likely to serve the objective of the study?
  - Equitably include participants?
  - Properly exclude participants who can predictably confound the results?
  - Properly exclude participants who may predictably be at increased risk in the study due to coexisting conditions or circumstances?
5. Does the study design have adequate built-in safeguards for risks?
- Appropriate screening of potential participants?
  - Use of a stepwise dose escalation with analysis of the results before proceeding?
  - Does the frequency of visits and biological samplings reasonably monitor the expected effects?
  - Are there defined stopping (discontinuation) / withdrawal criteria for participants with worsening condition?
  - Is there minimized use of medication withdrawal and placebo whenever possible?
  - Will rescue medications and procedures be allowed when appropriate?
  - Is there a defined safety committee to perform interim assessments, when appropriate?
- Is appropriate follow-up designed into the study? For instance, gene transfer research may require following the participants for years or for their entire lifetime after they receive the gene transfer agent.
6. Is pre-clinical and/or early clinical studies sufficiently performed before this study?
- The animal study and *in vitro* testing results?
  - Previous clinical results, if done?

	<p><u><i>FERCI MODEL SOPs</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</i></u> <u><i>Study Protocols</i></u></p>	<p><u><i>SOP 07A/V1</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</i></u></p>
--	--	---

- Whether the proposed study is appropriately built on the pre-clinical and/or early clinical results.
  - The selected dose based on adequate prior results?
  - Monitoring tests designed to detect expected possible risks and side effects?
- 7. Do the study and the informed consent process include issues of special concern, such as:
  - Waiver or alteration of consent?
  - Delayed consent (e.g., emergency treatment, etc.)?
  - Deception?
  - Sensitive information of participants that may require a confidentiality statement?

**Guidelines to review Informed Consent Document/Patient Information Sheet**

**The actual process of informed consent should:**

- Give the participants significant information about the study.
- Make sure the participants have enough time to carefully read and consider all options.
- Answer all questions of the participants before making decision to participate.
- Explain risks or concerns to the participants.
- Make sure that all information is understood and satisfied by the participants.
- Make sure the participants understand the study and the consent process.
- Obtain voluntary informed consent to participate.
- Make sure the participants can freely consent without coercion, pressure or other undue influences.
- Consent should be informally verified on a continuing basis.
- Continue to inform the participants throughout the study.
- Continue to re-affirm the consent to participate throughout the study.

**Guidelines to Placebo Justification**

**Background conditions, such as benefits of standard treatment, risk of using placebo, risk management and disclosure should be considered.**

**I. Benefits of standard treatment**

- 1) Is there a standard treatment?
- 2) Is the standard treatment widely accepted?
- 3) Has efficacy of the treatment been consistently proven?
- 4) Are all newly diagnosed patients with this condition put in standard treatment (versus observed or other)?
- 5) Does the treatment act on the basic mechanism of the disease (vs. symptoms)?



FERCI MODEL SOPs

Initial Full Board Review of New Research  
Study Protocols

SOP 07A/V1

Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc

- 6) Are most ( $\geq 85\%$ ) of the patients with this condition responsive to standard treatment alternatives (vs. resistant or refractory)?

*If the answers of (1) to (6) are “yes”, placebo is not recommended.*

*If any one or more answers are “no”, placebo may be possible.*

- 7) Are the side effects of the standard treatment severe?  
8) Does standard treatment have many uncomfortable side effects?  
9) Does standard treatment have contraindications that prevent some research participants from being treated?  
10) Is there substantial ( $\leq 25\%$ ) placebo response in this disease or symptom?

*If the answer of (7) to (10) are “no”, placebo is not recommended.*


*If any one or more answers are “yes”, placebo may be possible.*

## II. Risks of placebo

- 1) Is the risk of using placebo instead of treatment life threatening?  
*If yes, placebo is not acceptable.*
- 2) Is the use of placebo instead of treatment likely to lead to permanent damage?  
*If yes, placebo is not acceptable.*
- 3) Is the risk of using placebo instead of treatment likely to cause irreversible disease progression?  
*If yes, placebo is not acceptable.*
- 4) Can the use of placebo instead of treatment lead to an acute emergency?  
5) Is the risk of using placebo instead of treatment the persistence of distressing symptoms?  
6) Is the risk of using placebo instead of treatment severe physical discomfort or pain?  
*If answers of (4) to (6) are “yes”, placebo is not acceptable unless risk management is adequate.*


## III. Risk management

- 1) Is there benefit in the overall management of the research participants?  
 *Yes, consider placebo*  
 *No, placebo not recommended.*
- 2) Will the discontinuation of previous treatment put the participant in danger of acute relapse when transferred to placebo?  
 *No, consider placebo*  
 *Yes, placebo not recommended.*
- 3) Are research participants at high risk for the use of placebo excluded?  
 *Yes, consider placebo*

	<p><u><b>FERCI MODEL SOPs</b></u></p> <p><u><b>Initial Full Board Review of New Research Study Protocols</b></u></p>	<p><u><b>SOP 07A/V1</b></u></p> <p><u><b>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</b></u></p>
--	--	---

- No, placebo not recommended.*
- 4) Is the duration of the study the minimum necessary in relation to the action of the drug?
- Yes, consider placebo*
- No, placebo not recommended.*
- 5) Are there clearly defined stopping rules to withdraw the research participants in case he/she does not improve?
- Yes, consider placebo*
- No, placebo not recommended.*
- 6) Is risk monitoring adequate to identify progression of the disease before the research participants experience severe consequences?
- Not applicable.*
- Yes, consider placebo*
- No, placebo not recommended.*
- 7) Are there clearly defined stopping rules to withdraw the research participants before the advent of severe disease progression?
- Yes, consider placebo*
- No, placebo not recommended.*
- 8) If the risk of placebo is an acute emergency, are rescue medication and emergency treatment available?
- Not applicable.*
- Yes, consider placebo*
- No, placebo not recommended.*
- 9) If the risk of placebo is the persistence of distressing symptoms, is concurrent medication to control them allowed?
- Not applicable.*
- Yes, consider placebo.*
- No, placebo not recommended.*
- 10) If the risk of placebo is severely physical discomfort or pain, is there rescue medication?
- Not applicable.*
- Yes, consider placebo.*
- No, placebo not recommended.*

**IV. Risk disclosure in the consent form**

	<p><u><i>FERCI MODEL SOPs</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</i></u> <u><i>Study Protocols</i></u></p>	<p><u><i>SOP 07A/V1</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</i></u></p>
--	--	---

1) Are the risks of getting placebo instead of active treatment fully disclosed?

*Yes, consider placebo.*

2) Are the risks of the test drug disclosed?

*Yes, consider placebo.*

3) Are the advantages of alternative treatments explained?

*Yes, consider placebo.*


**Conclusions:**

The use of placebo is ethically acceptable when

- research participants are not exposed to severe or permanent harm by the use of placebo.
- research participants under placebo will benefit from the overall treatment of the disease.
- risks of the use of placebo are minimized.
- risks are adequately disclosed in the consent form.

**Guidelines to review advertisements**

- Advertisements are limited to the information prospective participants need to determine their eligibility and interest, such as:
  - The name and address of the researcher or research facility.
  - The purpose of the research or the condition under study.
  - In summary form, the criteria that will be used to determine eligibility for the study.
  - A brief list of benefits to participants, if any.
  - The time or other commitment required of the participants.
  - The location of the research and the person or office to contact for further information
- The IEC reviews advertising to ensure that advertisements **DO NOT**:
  - State or imply a certainty of favorable outcome or other benefits beyond what is outlined in the consent document and the protocol.
  - Include exculpatory language.
  - Emphasize the payment or the amount to be paid, by such means as larger or bold type

	<p><b><u>FERCI MODEL SOPs</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Initial Full Board Review of New Research</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Study Protocols</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>SOP 07A/V1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effective Date: aa/bb/cccc</u></b></p>
--	---	---

- Promise "free treatment" when the intent is only to say participants will not be charged for taking part in the investigation.

### 7. Flow Chart

No.	Activity	Responsibility
1	Receive package or research proposal and research related documents package	Secretariat
2	Verify contents and distribute	Secretariat
3	Appointment of primary reviewers	Member Secretary/Chairperson
4	Initial review of documents, Fill review assessment form	IEC members
5	IEC board meeting, discussion and decision	IEC members, Member Secretary, Chairperson
6	IEC decision communicated to PI	Secretariat
7	Storage of study related documents with relevant correspondence	Secretariat